# **Preliminary communication**

# METHANOL AS HYDROGEN-DONOR IN HOMOGENEOUSLY CATALYSED REACTIONS

THOMAS A. SMITH and PETER M. MAITLIS\* Department of Chemistry, The University, Sheffield S3 7HF (Great Britain) (Received March 20th, 1984)

### Summary

Methanol in the presence of a number of soluble complexes of Rh, Ru, Ir or Os will hydrogenate organic functional groups, especially ketones and activated olefins. Methyl formate is also formed.

Although hydrogen  $(H_2)$  is the obvious reagent for catalytic hydrogenations, it suffers from a number of disadvantages. It is not very easily handled, is quite expensive and has only low solubility in organic solvents. Thus there has been wide interest in organic molecules which can act as hydrogen donors; two of the most popular have been formic acid and isopropanol [1].

Another in situ source of hydrogen which has enormous potential and which has so far been little exploited is methanol. Not only is methanol very cheap today and likely to remain so [2], since it can be made from a variety of feedstocks, but each mole of methanol can, at least in principle, give rise to two of hydrogen by a reversal of its formation reaction,

```
CH_3OH \rightarrow 2H_2 + CO
```

Thus one litre of methanol could have a hydrogenating ability equivalent to 49.4 mol of H<sub>2</sub>. With a suitable hydrogen acceptor the rather unfavourable thermodynamics ( $\Delta H^{298} + 92 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) [3] could be overcome; alternatively, only a part of the available hydrogen could be used in a thermodynamically more favourable process.

We have begun an examination of the potential of methanol to act as a hydrogen donor towards a variety of organic substrates when catalysed by soluble transition metal complexes. There have only been scattered reports of such reactions in the literature [4-6] and it may be inferred that a further difficulty in using methanol is a high kinetic barrier to reaction [6].

Our preliminary results are summarised in Table 1 from which it may be seen

#### TABLE 1

Catalyst<sup>a</sup> Time Substrate Product Methyl (mmol (T/N))<sup>b</sup> formate (mmol) temperature (mmol)  $(mmol(T/N))^{b}$  $(h/^{\circ}C)$ A (0.08) 19/150 Cyclohexanone Cyclohexanol 1.3 (16) 1.1 (14) (8) B (0.5) 5/150Cyclohexanone Cyclohexanol 2.1 (4) (48) 4.1 (8) B (0.5) 5/150Buten-3-one Butan-2-one 12(25)(48)25 (52) C (0.08) 18/145Cyclohexanol Cyclohexanone 2 (25) (8) 5.4 (67) C (0.08) 18/145 4-Methylpent-3-4-Methylpentan-2.8 (35) -en-2-one (8) -2-one 7.2 (90) C (0.08) 18/1450.7(9)4-t-Butylcyclo-4-t-Butylcyclohexanone (8) hexanol 6.2 (77) (trans/cis 4/1) C (0.08) 18/155 Butan-2-one Butan-2-ol 2.9 (36) 2.1 (27) (8) D (0.08) 18/150 Cyclohexanone Cyclohexanol 2.5 (30) (8) 6.5 (81) с E (0.08) 18/141Cyclohexanone Cyclohexanol (8) 2.0 (25) F (0.08) Cyclohexanol с 18/150Cyclohexanone 1.2 (15) (8)

HYDROGEN DONOR ACTIVITY OF METHANOL CATALYSED BY TRANSITION METAL COMPLEXES

<sup>a</sup> Catalysts: A,  $[(C_{s}Me_{s}Rh)_{2}Cl_{4}]$ ; B,  $[(C_{s}Me_{s}Rh)_{2}(OH)_{3}]Cl; C, [Ru(PPh_{3})_{3}Cl_{4}]$ ; D, ruthenium trichloride hydrate + 3 equivalents PPh\_{3}; E, OsH(Br)(CO)(PPh\_{3})\_{3}; F,  $[(C_{s}Me_{s}Ir)_{2}Cl_{4}]$ . <sup>b</sup> T/N, turnover number: moles of product per mol of catalyst; estimated by quantitative GC on Carbowax 20. <sup>c</sup> Trace.

for cyclohexanone as hydrogen acceptor, the complexes showing significant catalytic activity at  $150^{\circ}$ C for the formation of cyclohexanol included  $[(C_5Me_5Rh)_2Cl_4], [(C_5Me_5Rh)_2(OH)_3]^+, [(C_5Me_5Ir)_2Cl_4], [OsH(Br)CO(PPh_3)_3], and [Ru(PPh_3)_3Cl_2] (I)*, or its equivalent, ruthenium trichloride hydrate plus three equivalents of triphenylphosphine. Other ketones were also reduced by methanol plus I, as were benzaldehyde and activated olefins (e.g., mesityl oxide which gave 4-methylbutan-2-one). Cyclohexene was only reduced in trace amount under these conditions.$ 

The other product from these reactions was methyl formate\*\*, and the reduction of ketones by methanol may thus be described by the equation,

 $2R_2C=O + 2CH_3OH \rightarrow 2R_2CHOH + CH_3O_2CH$ 

Acknowledgements. We thank the S.E.R.C. and B.P. Chemicals Ltd for support porting this work and Johnson Matthey for the loan of ruthenium and iridium salts.

<sup>\*</sup>Complex I has been widely used for catalysing hydrogen transfer from higher alcohols [1].

<sup>\*\*</sup>A related series of reactions was carried out using similar substrates and catalysts but with ethanol as hydrogen donor. These required milder conditions and gave higher turnovers; acetaldehyde was the dehydrogenation product.

# References

- I.S. Kolomnikov, V.P. Kukolev, and M.E. Volpin, Russ. Chem. Rev. (Engl. Trans.), 43 (1974) 399;
  G. Brieger and T.J. Nestrick, Chem. Rev., 74 (1974) 567. See also: Y. Sasson and J. Blum, J. Org. Chem., 40 (1975) 1887 and G. Speier and L. Markó, J. Organomet. Chem., 210 (1984) 253.
- 2 See, for example, Chem. Eng. News, June 20, 1983, p. 20.
- 3 D.F. Stull, E.K. Westrum, and G.C. Sinke, Chemical Thermodynamics of Organic Compounds, Wiley, New York, 1969.
- 4 J.C. Bailar, and H. Itatani, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89 (1967) 1592 and 1600; J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc., 43 (1966) 337, 44 (1967) 147.
- 5 J.M. Landesberg, L. Katz, and C. Olsen, J. Org. Chem., 37 (1972) 930.
- 6 H. Iamai, T. Nishiguchi, and K. Fukuzumi, J. Org. Chem., 39 (1974) 1622.